DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. BOX 1450

ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

IF UNDELIVERABLE RETURN IN TEN DAYS

OFFICIAL BUSINESS









United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,885	12/16/2003	Takeshi Nishi	07977-121003	4773
26171	7590 01/04/2005		EXAMINER	
FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.			NGO, HUYEN LE	
1425 K STREET, N.W. 11TH FLOOR WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871	

DATE MAILED: 01/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

PTO 90C (Rev 10/03)

			1 20			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/735,885	NISHI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Julie-Huyen L. Ngo	2871				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addr	1955			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory, eriod. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statur. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, map ply within the statutory minimum of d will apply and will expire SIX (6) Note, te, cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this come a ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	_					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-16</u> is/are pending in the applicating 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>11-16</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·	•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E			• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in the conty documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No een received in this National S	tage			
	·					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper I	ow Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-1	152)			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 11-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sano et al. (US 5694188A).

With respect to claims 11-13 and 15, Sano et al. discloses a liquid crystal electrooptical device comprising:

- a pair of substrates 1/17, at least one of said pair of substrates being transparent;
- a light modulating layer inteposed between the pair of substrates, said
 light modulating layer including a liquid crystal, an optically active

Application/Control Number: 10/735,885 Page 3

Art Unit: 2871

substance [cholesteric (col. 1 lines 40-41) or isotropic fluid (col. 11 lines 66-67)], and a dichroic dye; and

 comb-shaped wall electrode 10 for applying an electric field in a direction parallel with the pair of substrates.

Wherein

the liquid crystal and the dichroic dye are inherently aligned in the direction
 parallel with the substrate by the electric field to obtain a light transmission state.

Claims 14 and 16:

No electric field is applied to attain a dark state (color state on screen) [when applied electric field to attain bright state] (col. 4 lines 48-56, col. 4 line 64 to col. 5 line 4).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on <u>10/25/04</u> have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's ONLY argument:

Sano does not describe or suggest the use of a layer that includes a liquid crystal, an optically active substance, and a dichroic dye.

Examiner's response to Applicants' ONLY argument:

Sano discloses the use of a layer that includes a liquid crystal, an optically active substance [cholesteric (col. 1 lines 40-41) or isotropic fluid (col. 11 lines 66-67)], and a dichroic dye.

Application/Control Number: 10/735,885

Art Unit: 2871

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Julie-Huyen L. Ngo whose telephone number is (571) 272-2295. The Examiner can normally be reached on T-Friday.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Supervisor, Mr. Robert H. Kim can be reached at (571) 272-2293.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1562.

BINAPOS EXPONENTI